

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Motivation and Research Question	1
1.2	Analytical Approach	2
1.3	Main Contribution	3
1.4	Outline	5
Part I Theoretical Analysis		
2	Foundations of the Theoretical Analysis	9
2.1	Social versus Private Time Preferences	9
2.1.1	The Economic Discounting Debate: First-best Benchmark, Second-best Cases, and Some More Recent Issues	10
2.1.2	Three Reasons Particularly Relevant for the Present Analysis	16
2.1.3	Summary and Treatment of the Assumption in the Model ...	27
2.2	Time-Lagged Capital Theory	29
2.2.1	Its Evolution and the Basic Neo-Austrian Three-process Model	30
2.2.2	New Extensions	32
2.3	Analytical Structure of the Model in Chapter 3	32
2.4	Conclusion	33
3	A Theoretical Model of Structural Change in the Energy Industry ...	35
3.1	Model	35
3.2	Social Optimum	38
3.2.1	Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Social Optimum ...	38
3.2.2	Conditions for Investment and Replacement	41
3.3	Unregulated Competitive Market Equilibrium	45
3.3.1	The Household's Market Decisions	45
3.3.2	The Firms' Market Decisions	46
3.3.3	Necessary and Sufficient Condition for Unregulated Market Equilibrium	47
3.3.4	Conditions for Investment and Replacement	48

3.4	Competitive Market Equilibrium with Emission Tax and Investment Subsidy	51
3.4.1	The Household's and Firms' Market Decisions under Regulation	51
3.4.2	Necessary and Sufficient Condition for Regulated Market Equilibrium	52
3.4.3	Conditions for Investment and Replacement	54
3.5	Conclusion	58
4	Summary of Results, Discussion of Assumptions, and Policy Implications	59
4.1	Summary of Results	59
4.1.1	Too Low Unit Costs of Energy of Established Technology as Compared to Social Optimum Due to Pollution	59
4.1.2	Too High Unit Costs of Energy of New Technology as Compared to Social Optimum Because Private Time-Preference Rate Higher Than Socially Optimal	60
4.1.3	Time Lag of Capital Accumulation Reinforces Distortion from Split of Social and Private Time-Preference Rates	61
4.1.4	Distortions Imply in Mutually Reinforcing Way Less Favorable Circumstances for Innovation and Replacement	61
4.1.5	Social Optimum May Be Implemented by Setting Appropriate Emission Tax and Investment Subsidy	62
4.1.6	Environmental Policy Alone Biased Towards Gradual Change, Technology Policy Alone Independent of Environmental Effect	62
4.2	Discussion of Model Assumptions	63
4.2.1	Social Rate of Time Preference Below Private	63
4.2.2	Time Lag in Capital Accumulation	63
4.2.3	Focus on Linear-limitational, General Energy Technologies	64
4.2.4	Labor Only Primary Input, Energy Homogeneous Output	64
4.2.5	Emissions as Flow Pollutant	65
4.2.6	Abstraction from Peculiarities of Economics of Power Systems	65
4.3	Policy Implications	65
4.3.1	New Reason for Technology Policy	66
4.3.2	No Support of Subsidies for Specific Technologies	66
4.3.3	Necessary Completion of Environmental by Technology Policy	66
4.3.4	Environmental Policy Alone Favors Gradual Change	67
4.3.5	Substantiation of Win-win Hypothesis of Environmental Regulation	67
4.4	Conclusions to Theoretical Part	67

Part II Applied Analysis

5	Foundations of the Applied Analysis	71
5.1	Analytical Setting and Specifics of the Applied Investigation	71
5.1.1	Analytical Setting	72
5.1.2	Focus on Germany Around 2015 and Conventional Generation Technologies	73
5.1.3	Previous Literature, Contribution, and Data Sources	74
5.1.4	Relationship to Theoretical Part	77
5.2	Financial Model	78
5.2.1	Capital Costs	78
5.2.2	Costs During Operation	80
5.2.3	Unit Costs of Electricity of the New Technologies	81
5.2.4	Unit Costs of Electricity of the Established Technology	82
5.3	Technical and Economic Parameters	83
5.3.1	Old and New Coal-fired Reference Power Plants	83
5.3.2	Gas-fired Reference Power Plant	88
5.3.3	Nuclear Reference Power Plant	91
5.4	Policy Parameters	96
5.4.1	Environmental Policy	96
5.4.2	Technology Policy	97
5.5	Conclusion	99
6	Technology Choice Under Environmental and Technology Policies	101
6.1	No-policy Benchmark	101
6.2	Technology Choice Without Abatement Technology	102
6.2.1	Sensitivity Under Environmental Policy	102
6.2.2	Sensitivity Under Technology Policy	104
6.2.3	Sensitivity Under Environmental and Technology Policies Combined	107
6.3	Technology Choice With Abatement Technology	109
6.3.1	Sensitivity Under Environmental Policy	109
6.3.2	Sensitivity Under Environmental and Technology Policies Combined	110
6.4	Summary and Discussion of Results	112
6.4.1	No-policy Benchmark	112
6.4.2	Results Under Environmental Policy Without and With Abatement Option	113
6.4.3	Results in Cases with Technology Policy	114
6.4.4	Results According to N_l and N_h Scenarios	115
6.5	Conclusion	116

7	Optimal Moments of Transition Under Environmental and Technology Policies	119
7.1	Determination of the Optimal Moment of Transition	119
7.2	No-policy Benchmark	121
7.3	Optimal Moments of Transition Without Abatement Technology	122
7.3.1	Sensitivity Under Environmental Policy	122
7.3.2	Sensitivity Under Technology Policy	124
7.3.3	Sensitivity Under Environmental and Technology Policies Combined	126
7.4	Optimal Moments of Transition With Abatement Technology	129
7.4.1	Sensitivity Under Environmental Policy	129
7.4.2	Sensitivity Under Environmental and Technology Policies Combined	131
7.5	Summary and Discussion of Results	133
7.5.1	No-policy Benchmark	133
7.5.2	Results Under Environmental Policy Without and With Abatement Option	133
7.5.3	Results in Cases with Technology Policy	135
7.5.4	Results According to N_l and N_h Scenarios	137
7.6	Conclusion	138
8	Conclusions	141
8.1	Putting the Analysis in Perspective	141
8.2	Policy Conclusions	143
8.3	Issues for Further Research	144
A	Appendix to Part I	147
A.1	Concavity of Hamiltonian Along Optimal Path	147
A.2	Optimal Transition Dynamics and Stationary States	148
A.3	Saddle-Point Stability of Interior Solution	149
B	Appendix to Part II	155
B.1	Summary of Technical, Financing, and Cost Parameters	155
	References	157