

Contents

Abbreviations	9
1 Introduction: The “insider’s” view.....	11
2 “Stayin’ alive, stayin’ alive” –	
Interaction, problem solving concepts and “European” actors	25
2.1 Organisations, institutions and individual actors	25
2.2 Organising and stabilising interaction.....	31
2.3 European and national actors	39
2.3.1 The EU level and the European Commission as a communication and bargaining system.....	39
2.3.2 The Commission as an actor in its own role	40
2.3.3 Member State authorities – the national/transnational actors ..	44
2.3.3.1 Member States “in Brussels”.....	44
2.3.3.2 Four selected Member States in a multi-level network	46
2.3.4 Federations – industry’s “early-warning system”	53
2.3.5 Technical specification writers – the Men in Black?	59
2.4 “After the dust has settled ...” –	
the implementation of EU legislation by national actors	63
2.5 Knowledge, lack of knowledge, trust.....	72
3 The practical implementation of the CPD	83
3.1 Researching one’s own backyard.....	83
3.1.1 EU Directives as research topics.....	83
3.1.2 Research on the CPD from the EU level to the “construction-site” level.....	87
3.1.3 Risks and advantages of researching in one’s own field of work	91

3.2	The EU level	96
3.2.1	The European Commission.....	100
3.2.2	Member States authorities	109
3.2.2.1	Germany.....	110
3.2.2.2	The United Kingdom.....	114
3.2.2.3	Austria.....	117
3.2.2.4	Poland.....	121
3.2.3	Federations.....	125
3.2.3.1	Federations of manufacturers	125
3.2.3.2	Federations of contractors (construction companies)	127
3.2.3.3	Federations of architects.....	128
3.2.4	Certification Bodies, Test laboratories – the Group of Notified Bodies (GNB).....	129
3.2.5	The technical specification writers (CEN and EOTA)	131
3.2.5.1	European Committee for Standardization (Comite Europeen de Normalisation – CEN).....	131
3.2.5.2	The European Organisation for Technical Approvals (EOTA).....	135
3.2.6	Other European bodies.....	138
3.3	The assumptions of actors at EU level.....	140
3.3.1	The European Commission.....	141
3.3.2	MS authorities.....	150
3.3.2.1	Germany.....	150
3.3.2.2	The United Kingdom.....	153
3.3.2.3	Austria.....	155
3.3.2.4	Poland.....	158
3.3.3	Federations.....	160
3.3.3.1	Federations of manufacturers	162
3.3.3.2	Federations of contractors	169
3.3.3.3	Federations of architects.....	171
3.3.4	Certification Bodies (Notified Bodies) – the Group of Notified Bodies (GNB).....	172
3.3.5	The technical specification writers (CEN and EOTA)	175
3.3.5.1	CEN.....	175
3.3.5.2	EOTA	183

3.4	Conclusions at EU level.....	185
3.4.1	Working at EU level: The “power” of the few	185
3.4.2	... the real input of the few	192
3.4.3	... and the output	198
3.4.3.1	Organising the information flow to and from “Brussels”	198
3.4.3.2	Technical standards: market instrument or technical instrument for the construction sector?	199
3.4.3.3	Reaching the national level	201
3.4.3.4	Trust at EU level?.....	204
3.5	The national level.....	206
3.5.1	Member State authorities	210
3.5.1.1	Germany	210
3.5.1.2	The United Kingdom.....	215
3.5.1.3	Austria	217
3.5.1.4	Poland.....	219
3.5.2	Federations.....	221
3.5.2.1	Germany	222
3.5.2.2	United Kingdom.....	225
3.5.2.3	Austria	228
3.5.2.4	Poland.....	230
3.5.3	The technical specification writers	231
3.5.3.1	Standardisation bodies.....	231
3.5.3.2	EOTA – national members.....	234
3.5.4	Notified Bodies	235
3.5.5	Other national bodies	236
3.6	The assumptions of actors at national level	237
3.6.1	Member State (national and regional) authorities.....	238
3.6.1.1	Germany.....	240
3.6.1.2	United Kingdom.....	243
3.6.1.3	Austria	243
3.6.1.4	Poland.....	246
3.6.2	Federations/ standardisation work	247
3.6.2.1	The “added value” of CE marking	249
3.6.2.2	Standardisation work.....	250
3.6.2.3	(Private) actors in Germany	252
3.6.2.4	(Private) actors in the United Kingdom.....	258

3.6.2.5 (Private) actors in Austria	262
3.6.2.6 (Private) actors in Poland	266
3.6.3 Certification Bodies/Notified Bodies.....	268
3.7 Conclusion at national level.....	271
3.7.1 ... and still: the “power” of the few?	273
3.7.2 ... the real input of the few	276
3.7.3 ... and the output	280
3.7.4 Technical standards, CE marking and other marks: “market instruments” or practical instruments for the construction sector?	282
3.7.5 National approaches.....	286
3.7.6 Trust at national level?.....	289
3.8 The “construction site” level.....	291
3.9 The assumption of actors at the construction site level.....	298
3.9.1 Manufacturers	299
3.9.2 Distributors	301
3.9.3 Architects/designers.....	303
3.9.4 Contractors/construction companies.....	305
3.9.5 www.CE – The invisible actor on the “construction site” level.....	308
3.10 Conclusion construction site level	309
4 Conclusion: Lessons to be learned?	313
4.1 “I had a dream ...”	314
4.2 “Brussels” – setting the stage	317
4.3 The information flow to (and from) national actors – setting the national level	325
4.4 The “construction site” level – The quest for the “missing link”	328
4.5 Good news and bad news for Eurosceptics	338
4.6 ... and Europhiles	340
4.7 Research on European Directives – “Gaps” and future fields of research?	342
4.8 “Here is my brilliant plan! You work out the details!”	345
5 Bibliography	363